

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/642,270	08/18/2003	Hisatoshi Hirota	030897	2427
38834	7590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ϵ				
icant(s)				
OTA, HISATOSHI				
nit				
oondence address				
ОМ				
considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133). duce any				
on as to the merits is 6. 213.				
ner.				
FR 1.85(a). to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
n or form PTO-152.				
· (f).				

Office A -45 O	10/642,270	HIROTA, HISATOSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan N. Nguyen	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	<u>ril 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL 2b)□ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims 1, 2 and 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as indicated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Freisinger et al. (hereinafter Freisinger) as evidenced by Hopkins, Jr.

Freisinger discloses a differential pressure control valve (see Figs. 9 and 10) of pilot-operated type for controlling a flow rate of fluid so that a differential pressure between inlet (112) and outlet (116) sides of the fluid may become equal to a differential pressure set by a value of electric current passed a solenoid thereof, characterized in

that a diaphragm (190) is arranged at a sliding portion on an outer periphery of a main valve piston (160) for opening and closing a main valve element (about 162) of a main valve, to completely prevent the fluid from leaking through the sliding portion, the main valve piston has an orifice (162,163) in order to allow passage of the fluid through the main valve piston. The diaphragm, inherently, comprises a film shaped into annular form, the annular film having an inner peripheral edge portion clamped between the main valve piston and a fixing ring (172) secured to the main valve piston, and an outer peripheral edge portion clamped between a body (110) containing the main valve piston and a cylindrical member (111) which is secured to the body and which slidably. receives the main valve piston (see Fig. 9). The main valve piston is formed integrally with the main valve element (see Figs. 9 and 10) as a one-piece body, and wherein the orifice connects a space in which the fluid is introduced to a piston chamber (about 114) located on one side thereof opposite the main valve element, pressure in the piston chamber being controlled by a pilot valve (about 130) arranged between the piston chamber and a space (about 140) located on a downstream side of the main valve, to thereby control valve lift of the main valve.

The Freisinger diaphragm is stretchable in a similar manner as that of the instant invention diaphragm; therefore, would inherently comprise a polyimide film. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Freisinger diaphragm out of polyimide film as, for example, taught by Hopkins, Jr. (if not already).

3. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Saeki et al. (hereinafter Saeki) in view of Takano as evidenced by Hopkins, Jr.

Saeki discloses a differential pressure control valve as claimed (see Fig. 8). The seal of Saeki arranged at a sliding portion on an outer periphery of a main valve piston (80) is an O-ring seal instead of a diaphragm as claimed. Attention is directed to Takano, which discloses an analogous differential pressure control valve as discussed in the previous office action, wherein a diaphragm (67) is arranged at a sliding portion on an outer periphery of a main valve piston (64) for opening and closing a main valve element (65) of a main valve, to completely prevent the fluid from leaking through the sliding portion. The diaphragm, inherently, comprises a film shaped into annular form. the annular film having an inner peripheral edge portion clamped between the main valve piston and a fixing ring (66) secured to the main valve piston, and an outer peripheral edge portion clamped between a body containing the main valve piston and a cylindrical member (68) which is secured to the body and which slidably receives the main valve piston (see Fig. 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the Saeki seal O-ring with a diaphragm seal as, for example, taught by Takano in order to completely prevent the fluid from leaking through the sliding portion.

The Takano diaphragm is stretchable in a similar manner as that of the instant invention diaphragm; therefore, would inherently comprise a polyimide film. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to make the Takano diaphragm out of polyimide film as, for example, taught by Hopkins, Jr. (if not already).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rimboym and Reinartz et al. disclose other pilot valve.

 Brundage discloses a valve piston having orifice therein.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine R. Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/I uan Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751

TN